



# DoD Base Realignment and Closure Part III (BRAC 93)

Department of the Air Force

FY 1996/97 Biennial Budget Estimates



19950224 006

### FY 1996/1997 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

1993 COMMISSION

DITO QUALITY LINEREGISTO &

#### 1993 Commission

I.	Base	Realignment and Closure	1
II.	Fina	ncial Summary 1993 Commission	6
III.	Base	Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package	
	1.	Griffiss AFB, New York	8
	2.	K.I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan	19
	3.	March AFB, California	27
	4.	Plattsburgh AFB, New York	
	5.	Homestead AFB, Florida	
	6.	O'Hare International ARS, Illinois	53
	7.	Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio	56
	8.	Newark AFB, Ohio	
	9.	Program Management	
IV.	Milit	tary Construction Projects by State	65

Acces	sion For	₹
atis	GRA&I	B
DILC	TAB	
	ಾಂಗದ <b>ಂತರ</b>	
Justi	ADA 2	761108
See	TUTIE,	077.
By		Marie - Marie of the Company of the
Dist	cibution/	
Ava	ilability	Codes
	Avail au	d/or
Dage	Specia	L
10'		- 449849
V		

#### BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT

## 1993 COMMISSION U.S. Air Force Overview

Schedule/Mission Impact: The following schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability while placing priority on closing or realigning the bases as recommended by the 1993 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close and realign the bases as recommended at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength, reductions to base operating support costs, and, where applicable, proceeds from sale of real property at closure bases. The savings associated with these closure actions are for display purposes only and will accrue in other Air Force accounts. The funding profiles associated with the schedule have been programmed to accomplish this objective. Those requirements generated by the 1993 Base Closure Commission changes to previous Base Closure Commission recommendations are included in the 1991 section of the budget estimates.

FY 1994: Base Closure Account requirement: \$273.5 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 1994 consisted of preliminary planning, designing and initiating military construction projects to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Funding was also necessary for environmental compliance and restoration actions to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at each closure/realignment location, for family housing, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

**Griffiss**: Base Closure Account requirement: \$33.6 Million.

The funding was due to environmental, operation and maintenance, and military construction.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$39.5 Million

The funding was due to environmental and restoration requirements, military construction, operation and maintenance, procurement, and military personnel PCS.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$107.6 Million

The funding was due to military construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance, and procurement.

**Plattsburgh:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$17.2 Million

The funding consisted of operations and maintenance requirements, environmental compliance and restoration, and military personnel PCS.

Homestead: Base Closure Account requirement: \$22.1 Million.

The funding was a result of military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, and procurement.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.2 Million

The funding was for funding the operating location established by AFBCA.

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.3 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance, as well as environmental requirements,

Program Management: \$51.1 Million.

Funding was for planning and design for military construction and military family housing, environmental, and operation and maintenance.

FY 1995: Base Closure Account requirement: \$302.4 Million. Griffiss, KI Sawyer, and Plattsburgh are scheduled to close in FY 1995. Active duty force structure at these bases will be relocated or retired, and active duty military and civilian personnel will relocate or be eliminated from Air Force end strength. Disposition of Reserve forces is specified in individual closure packages. Numerous units will be relocated or inactivated. Funding is primarily related to construction projects required at gaining locations and work around projects for units to operate until their receiving facilities are completed. Environmental studies will be completed or continued as necessary and environmental restoration will be initiated. Numerous units will be relocated or inactivated.

**Griffiss**: Base Closure Account requirement: \$44.4 Million

The funding is related to construction at receiving units, civilian personnel costs, transportation of equipment, environmental restoration, and military personnel PCS costs. The engineering facility at Westover will be expanded to accommodate the 933 CES currently at Griffiss. A building will be expanded at Westover to accommodate the aeromedical unit being relocated from Griffiss.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$128.3 Million

The funding is primarily related to construction, civilian personnel costs, military personnel PCS, transportation of equipment, and environmental. The closure of KI Sawyer ultimately results in the movement of KC-10 aircraft to McGuire, necessitating extensive construction costs.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$57.0 Million

The funding is due to military construction, environmental, military personnel PCS, and operation and maintenance.

<u>Plattsburgh</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$31.9 Million

Funding is due to operation and maintenance requirements, military personnel PCS, and environmental.

<u>Homestead</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.4 Million The funding consists of environmental, and caretaker requirements.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.2 Million Funding is for an operating location established by AFBCA.

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$12.9 Million

The funding is due to environmental, operation and maintenance requirements, and the PCS of military personnel, and procurement.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.4 Million

Funding is for one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base. These costs include environmental studies and headquarters management requirements.

**FY 1996.** Base Closure Account requirement: \$265.9 Million. The 1993 Commission recommendations for Plattsburgh, Newark, and March are scheduled for completion this year. Funding is due to military construction, family housing construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and procurement.

**Griffiss:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$28.6 Million

Realignment actions are expected to be completed. Caretaker and disposal management team requirements continue. Funding is also provided for military construction.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$29.8 Million

Funding is due to environmental and caretaker requirements, as well as military construction and family housing construction.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$86.8 Million

Funding is due to environmental, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and military construction.

Plattsburgh: Base Closure Account requirement: \$17.9 Million

Funding is due to the installation restoration program requirements. Also included are caretaker requirements.

**Homestead:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$35.7 Million

Funding is due to military construction at receiving bases, environmental compliance and restoration, and caretaker contracts.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million

Funding provides for an operating location established by AFBCA.

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$51.7 Million

Funding is due to environmental, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and procurement requirements.

**Program Management:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.3 Million

Funding is for one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base. These costs include environmental studies and headquarters management requirements

FY 1997. Base Closure Account requirement: \$291.6 Million. All of the closure and realignment recommendations of the 1993 Commission are scheduled to be completed this fiscal year. Environmental restoration will continue until completion. Funding is for family housing construction and operation and maintenance.

**Griffiss:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$33.9 Million

Funding is due to caretaker and environmental compliance and installation restoration requirements.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$30.5 Million

Funding is due to caretaker and environmental compliance and installation restoration requirements.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$82.2 Million

Funding is due to caretaker and environmental compliance and installation restoration requirements. Also included are requirements for family housing construction.

<u>Plattsburgh:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$32.8 Million Funding is due to caretaker and environmental requirements

<u>Homestead:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$28.6 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million

Funding provides for an operating location established by AFBCA.

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$37.0 Million

Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

**Program Management**: Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.4 Million

Funding is due to one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, namely headquarters AFBCA.

<u>FY 1998.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$298.5 Million. Realigned and closed bases continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred.

Griffiss: Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.7 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$48.9 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.6 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements, and caretaker contracts.

<u>Plattsburgh:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$48.9 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements, and caretaker contracts.

**Homestead:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.3 Million

Funding is due to environmental requirements, and caretaker contracts.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$40.5 Million

Funding is due to environmental requirements and funding the AFBCA operating location.

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$10.4 Million.

Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker contracts.

**Program Management**: Base Closure Account requirement: \$45.2 Million

Funding is due to one time operation costs which are not categorized by closure base.

<u>FY 1999.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$321.1 Million. Environmental restoration and maintenance of the bases continue.

Griffiss: Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.7 Million.

Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker contracts.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$48.9 Million.

Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker contracts.

<u>March:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.6 Million. Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker contracts.

<u>Plattsburgh:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$48.9 Million. Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker contracts.

<u>Homestead:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$21.3 Million Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker contracts.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

<u>Gentile:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$40.5 Million Funding is due to environmental and to maintain an operating location established by AFBCA.

**Newark:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$19.3 Million Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker contracts.

**Program Management:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$48.8 Million Funding is due to environmental, and operation and maintenance requirements.

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	117.0	104.9	60.8
Family Housing - Construction	0.5	0.0	15.9
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	86.4	107.4	78.8
Operations & Maintenance	67.0	68.9	101.5
Military Personnel - PCS	1.7	20.0	7.9
Other	0.8	1.2	1.1
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	273.5	302.4	265.9
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0
BUDGET REQUEST	273.5	302.4	265.9
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0
Family Housing - Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	5.1	71.7	0.0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	5.1	71.7	0.0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	3.9	14.9	5.9
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	8.6	19.6	59.8
Military Personnel	0.0	24.8	113.4
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Civilian ES	0.0	-770.0	-1,030.0
Military ES	-913.0	-2,072.0	-3,842.0
TOTAL SAVINGS	12.5	59.3	179.1
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	113.2	90.0	54.9
Family Housing - Construction	0.5	0.0	15.9
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	86.4	107.4	78.8
Operations & Maintenance	58.4	49.3	41.7
Military Personnel - PCS	1.7	-4.9	-105.5
Other	0.8	1.2	1.1
Homeowners Assistance Program	5.1	71.7	0.0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	266.1	314.7	86.8

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	282.
Family Housing - Construction	20.0	0.0	0.0	36.4
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	74.9	249.8	272.4	869.7
Operations & Maintenance	196.7	48.7	48.7	531.5
Military Personnel - PCS	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.6
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	291.6	298.5	321.1	1,753.0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Trevention Foundation ()	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BUDGET REQUEST	291.6	298.5	321.1	1,753.0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Family Housing - Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.7
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.7
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	26.4	12.6	25.4	89.0
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	99.5	111.4	113.9	412.9
Military Personnel	153.4	156.6	160.1	608.4
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Civilian ES	-1,030.0	-1,030.0	-1,030.0	-1,030.0
Military ES	-3,842.0	-3,842.0	-3,842.0	-3,842.0
TOTAL SAVINGS	279.3	280.6	299.4	1,110.2
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-26.4	-12.6	-25.4	193.7
Family Housing - Construction	20.0	0.0	0.0	36.4
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	74.9	249.8	272.4	869.7
Operations & Maintenance	97.2	-62.7	-65.2	118.6
Military Personnel - PCS	-153.4	-156.6	-160.1	-578.7
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.7
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	12.3	17.9	21.6	719.5

Griffiss AFB, New York Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	4,440	6,870	9,880
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	17,620	17,993	17,000
Operation & Maintenance	11,500	14,558	1,682
Military Personnel - PCS	0	5,000	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	33,560	44,421	28,562
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	20,302
Novolides from Baile sales (-)	O	O	U
BUDGET REQUEST	33,560	44,421	28,562
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	38	37,445	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	38	37,445	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	1,000	760
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	14,580
Military Personnel	0	5,030	22,980
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-523	-523
Military ES	0	-483	-483
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	6,030	38,320
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	4,440	5,870	9,120
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	17,620	17,993	17,000
Operation & Maintenance	11,500	14,558	-12,898
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-30	-22,980
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	38	37,445	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	33,598	75,836	-9,758

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	21,195
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	12,200	45,000	45,000	154,813
Operation & Maintenance	21,682	1,682	1,682	52,786
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	5,000
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	33,882	46,682	46,682	233,789
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0,002	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	O	O	O .	U
BUDGET REQUEST	33,882	46,682	46,682	233,789
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	37,483
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	37,48.
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	5,170	5,300	9,130	21,360
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	14,900	15,220	15,550	60,250
Military Personnel	31,080	31,740	32,450	123,280
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-523	-523	-523	-523
Military ES	-483	-483	-483	-483
TOTAL SAVINGS	51,150	52,260	57,130	204,890
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-5,170	-5,300	-9,130	-170
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	. 0
Environmental	12,200	45,000	45,000	154,813
Operation & Maintenance	6,782	-13,538	-13,868	-7,464
Military Personnel - PCS	-31,080	-31,740	-32,450	-118,280
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	37,483
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-17,268	-5,578	-10,448	66,382

EXHIBIT BC-02

## BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Griffiss Air Force Base, New York

Closure Package: Griffiss AFB, New York, realigns by FY 1995/4. The 416th Bomb Wing will inactivate. The B-52H aircraft will transfer to Minot AFB, North Dakota, and Barksdale AFB, Louisiana. The KC-135 aircraft from Griffiss AFB will transfer to Grand Forks AFB, North Dakota. The 485th Engineering Installation Group at Griffiss AFB will relocate to Hill AFB, Utah. The Northeast Air Defense Sector will remain at Griffiss AFB in a retained area. It will be transferred to the Air National Guard (ANG). Rome Laboratory will remain at Griffiss AFB in its existing facilities as a stand-alone Air Force laboratory. A minimum essential airfield will be maintained and operated by a contractor on an "as needed, on call" basis. The ANG will maintain and operate necessary facilities to support mobility/contingency/training of the 10th Infantry (Light) Division located at FT Drum, New York, and operate them when needed. Only the stand-alone laboratory and the ANG mission will remain.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

<u>N</u>	<u>lılıta</u>	<u>ry C</u>	ons	truc	tion:

State/Installation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cal Year f Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1996			
Massachusetts/Westover AFB	Alter Aeromedical Trng*/YTPM950047	1996	480
New York/Griffiss AFB	NEADS Support Facility/JREZ959501	1996	1,900
New York/Griffiss AFB	10th Infantry Div Spt (ANG)/JREZ9495	12 1996	3,150
New York/Griffiss AFB	Alter Cons Logistical Fac/JREZ940055	1996	3,750
N. Dakota/Minot AFB	AGE Corrosion Control/QJVF952104	1996	600
Total 1996			9,880

<sup>\*</sup>Previously shown as FY 1995 Project

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.7 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$17.0 Million

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

### Griffiss AFB, New York Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

•	1. COMPONENT					2	. DATE
	F	Y 1996 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCI	CION PRO	OJECT DAT	'A	
	AIR FORCE	(compute	er gener	ated)			
	3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION		4. PRO	JECT TITL	E	
				BASE C	LOSURE-AL	TER AERO	OMEDICAL
	WESTOVER AIR RESER	VE BASE, MASSACHUS	SETTS	TRAINI	NG FACILI	TY	
•	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	ECT NU	MBER 8.	PROJECT	COST(\$000)
					i		
	5.53.96F	171-445	YTPM	1950047			480
•		9. COST	r ESTIMA	TES			
-						UNIT	COST
		T		1 1.4			(6000)

J. COST ESTIMATE				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER AEROMEDICALTRAINING				
FACILITY	SF	6,000	55	330
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				80
UTILITIES	LS			( 55)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(_25)
SUBTOTAL	ł			410
CONTINGENCY (10%)				41
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				451
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				27
TOTAL REQUEST				478
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				480
·				:
	1			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate building 1408, to provide adequate training space for the Aeromedical patient staging squadron. Provide necessary utilities and communication support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 6,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 6,000 SF PROJECT: Alteration of area in bldg 1408 to provide for the aeromedical unit from Griffiss.

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: Closure of Griffiss AFB, NY. Space is required to house the Aeromedical unit being relocated to Westover from Griffiss AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: The unit must be relocated. Adequate space does not now exist on base.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of facilities will cause the unit to lose its efficiency and failure in performing missions and goals.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1996 MILITA	RY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	r DATA
AIR FORCE	(co	mputer generated)	·
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
		BASE CLOSUF	RE-NEADS SUPPORT
GRIFFISS AIR F	FORCE BASE, NEW YO	RK FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY	CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
55296F	171-443	JREZ959501	1,900
	9.	COST ESTIMATES	

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-NEADS ADMINISTRATIVE				
FACILITY	SF	10,000	105	1,050
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			675
UTILITIES	LS			( 120)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 105)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 200)
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	EA	100	2,500	( 250)
SUBTOTAL				1,725
CONTINGENCY (5%)				86
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,811
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				109
TOTAL REQUEST				1,920
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,900
		Ī		
`				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, steel frame structure, roof system, mechanical, electrical, and fire protection systems. Includes access roads, parking, site improvements and utilities.

Air Conditioning: 33 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 10,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

PROJECT: Construct administrative space for North East Air Defense (NEADS) mission.

REQUIREMENT: The realignment of Griffiss AFB generates a requirement for adequate administrative, training, and storage of the NEADS mission.

CURRENT SITUATION: Administrative and command functions for the various NEADS missions currently occupy buildings 102 and 131. These facilities have been converted from their original use and are inefficient for the current and proposed mission taskings for NEADS. These buildings are also on the flightline and therefore occupy choice real estate for any proposed reuse of the airfield. As a result of the base closure, these facilities are grossly oversized and outside of the Air Force cantonment area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The facilities currently in use by NEADS will not be available for civilian reuse. Maintenance, repair, and operational costs will be higher than necessary.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE	
	F	Y 1996 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCT	TION PRO	OJECT I	ATA			
ANG		(compute	er gener	ated)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. INSTALLAT	ON AN	DLOCATION		4. PRO	JECT TI	TLE			
				BASE C	LOSURE-	-10TF	H INFAI	NTRY	
GRIFFISS AIR	FORCE	BASE, NEW YORK		DIVISI	ON COMI	PLEX			
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	JECT NU	MBER 8	3. PI	ROJECT	COST(\$	000)
55296F		121-111	JRE2	3949512				3,150	
		9. cos:	r estima	ATES	<del>,</del>				
							UNIT	cos	_
		ITEM		U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	(\$00	0)
BASE CLOSURE-	-10TH	INFANTRY DIVISION							
COMPLEX				LS					770
PETROLEUM (	PERAT:	IONS FACILITY		SF	1,69	50	130	) (	215)
REMOVE JET	BLAST	DEFLECTORS & LIGH	HTS	LS				(	50)
VEHICLE WAS	SH FAC	ILITY		LS					160)
UPGRADE JET	T FUEL	STORAGE TANKS		LS				1 '	720)
HEAT PLANT				LS				(	875)
AIRFIELD FENCING			LS				(	750)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES									40
Idam / Instant Indicates				LS				(	40)
SUBTOTAL								2,	810
CONTINGENCY (5%)					1				141

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Modifications and alterations to the existing operations/storage facilities for POL and the aircraft ramp. Modifications to an aircraft nose dock to convert it to a vehicle wash rack. Construct POL Operations facility. All utilities, heating plant, and support as required.

Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

TOTAL REQUEST

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)

PROJECT: Renovate various facilities to support the 10th Mountain Infantry (Light) Division.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Griffiss AFB requires facilities for the mobilization contingency/training of the 10th Mountain Infantry (Light) Division. The POL system needs to be transformed from dispensing only to capability to receive and dispense fuel by trucks. The existing aircraft ramp needs to be converted for C-5 aircraft use. An existing aircraft nose dock must be converted for military vehicle wash operations. A POL operations facility is needed to house the personnel who will receive and dispense the fuel. It will also accommodate the fuel quality control lab. As this will be a "stand alone" area, a seperate heating plant will be required. Airfield fencing is required to maintain AF aircraft movement from new civilian airfield operations.

CURRENT SITUATION: The aircraft ramp is currently configured for B-52 bombers. The jet blast deflectors and security lighting must be removed so that transport aircraft can fully utilize the available apron. The POL facility is too large and costly for operations as anticipated for the 10th Mountain's mobilization requirements. The existing facility must be modified so that it can accept shipment from bulk transport truck tankers. The environmental standards require that the drainage system of the

2,951

3,128

3,150

177

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE	
	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJE	ECT DATA	
ANG	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION		
GRIFFISS AIR	FORCE BASE, NEW YORK		
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PROJECT NUME	ER
BASE CLOSURE-	-10TH INFANTRY DIVISION COMPLEX	JREZ949512	

existing nose dock be connected to an existing oil water separator before it can be used for vehicle washing. The jet fuel storage tanks are oversized and outside of the cantonment area. Due to sporatic and intermittent requirements of the 10th Mt., the buildings that are currently on the central steam plant need to be removed for stand alone economic operation. The only access to the parcel of land identified for community reuse is via a road within the current base fence. A fence needs to be constructed that will separate this public convenience from the airfield.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The facilities currently in use by the Army will not be available for civilian reuse. Maintenance, repair, and operational costs will be higher than necessary. The 10th Infantry Division will not be able to meet mobilization requirements.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT								2	. DATE
	FY 19	996 MILITA	ARY CC	NSTRUC'	rion	PROJECT	DAT	TA A	
AIR FORCE		( 00	mpute	r gene:	rate	d)			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LO	CATION			4. 1	PROJECT T	CITI	Æ	
					BASI	E CLOSURE	E-AI	TER	
GRIFFISS AIR	FORCE BAS	SE, NEW YO	DRK		CON	SOLIDATE	L	G FACIL	ITIES
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE	7. PRO	JECT	NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST(\$000)
			.						
7.28.06		219-946	1	JRE:	z9400	055			3,750

9. COST ESTIMAT	res			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	ש/ט	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER CONSOLIDATED LOG				
FACILITIES	LS			2,974
ALTER ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES	SF	12,600	50	( 630)
ALTER CIVIL ENGINEERING SHOPS	SF	20,500	45	( 923)
ALTER CIVIL ENGINEERING STORAGE	SF	67,000	18	(1,206)
ALTER VEHICLE STORAGE	LS			( 215)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				245
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			( 105)
UTILITIES/METERS	LS			( <u>140</u> )
SUBTOTAL				3,219
CONTINGENCY (10%)	•			322
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,541
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				212
TOTAL REQUEST				3,753
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,750

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter partitions, lighting, electrical power systems, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems, and install latrines/shower facilities. Relocate industrial equipment as necessary. Install vehicle access ramps, overhead doors, heating curtains, washrack, fire walls, and all necessary support.

11. REQUIREMENT: 188,372 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 390,251 SF PROJECT: Convert building into a Consolidated Logistical Facility in support of Rome Laboratory and other DOD retained functions.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Griffiss Air Force Base, NY. A facility is required to provide Rome Lab (AFMC), Air National Guard, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office (DRMO), 1st Space Surveillance Squadron (AFSPACECOM) with facility maintenance shops, storage, and administrative functions as a key part of the lab's ability to "stand-alone" in its present location. These facilities must include adequate electrical power, floor space layout, and HVAC for industrial equipment and skilled laborers, dedicated to facility maintenance of the lab. It must also provide engineers and administrative personnel with adequate work space. Vehicles must be protected from the severe weather conditions in northern NY state.

CURRENT SITUATION: These functions are presently tenants at Griffiss AFB. When the 416th Wing is inactived, Rome Lab must "stand-alone" in its existing location. To effectively operate as an Air Force laboratory, civil engineering and vehicle storage functions must be physically transferred inside the new "cantonment" area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Civil engineering and vehicle storage functions will continue to operate outside the cantonment area. This physical separation will force the Air Force to retain excess property away from

	1. COMPONENT		2. DA	ATE				
	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	TA	- 1					
	AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							
	GRIFFISS AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK							
_	4. PROJECT TITLE	5. P	ROJECT	NUMBER				
		İ						
	PACE CLOSUPE ALTER CONSOLIDATED LOG FACILITIES	.ت. ا	REZ9400	)55				

the main Rome Lab campus. The Air Force will pay higher facility maintenance and operations costs while these functions continue to operate in an inefficient manner.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190. "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE
	FY 19	996 MILITA	ARY CON	ISTRUCI	TION PROJE	CT DATA	1	
AIR FORCE		( 00	mputer	gener	ated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
BASE CLOSURE-AGE CORROS					SION			
MINOT AIR FOR	CE BASE,	NORTH DAK	OTA		CONTROL F	ACILITY		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE 7	. PROJ	ECT NUMBE	R 8. PR	OJECT	COST(\$000)
			1					
100.11		211-159		QJVF	952104			600

9. COST ESTIMAT	res			
ITEM	II /M	OUNDERDY	UNIT COST	COST
	- 10/M	QUANTITY	CO31	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-AGE CORROSION CONTROL				
FACILITY	SF	5,000	100	500
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				35
UTILITIES	LS			(25)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 10)
SUBTOTAL				535
CONTINGENCY (5%)				_27
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				562
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				34
TOTAL REQUEST				596
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				600
(1001020)				000
			i	_

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, split faced block siding, insulated roof system and parking lot. Area includes paint spray booths, sand blasting area, flammable storage, hazardous waste storage area, drying area, prepping area, utilities and all necessary support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct an aircraft ground equipment (AGE) corrosion control facility. This project is due to the Realignment of Griffiss AFB, NY.

REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility, properly sized and configured, for corrosion control on small aircraft parts and powered and nonpowered support equipment. Support equipment annually require corrosion control beyond washing. Effective corrosion control requires chemical agents for proper cleaning, stripping, coating, and adherence. If caustic agents (skin brighteners, paint removers and acids) dry before rinsing, they promote corrosion.

CURRENT SITUATION: The climate at Minot AFB is not suitable for corrosion control in an unsheltered area. It is dry, clear and windy with severe temperature fluctuation. Winds accelerate drying too rapidly and blow dust onto painted surfaces. It is too cold to paint outside from mid-October to mid-April. In the summer, the relative humidity during the day is below 20 percent. Painting operations require temperatures between 60 and 90 degrees Farenheit and a relative humidity between 40 and 80%. Daytime conditions are unsuitable for this application about 80 percent of the time. Life and explosive safety requirements limit painting in existing hangars to spot painting. Existing docks throughout the flightline area are essential aircraft maintenance hangars. The corrosion control facility is inadequate to support concurrent B-52 and support

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	CT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION		
MINOT AIR FOR	CE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TI		5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	AGE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	QJ	VF952104

equipment corrosion treatment. Current work demands a five day per week, two shift operations. Current facility demand is 28 aircraft paint touch-ups per year averaging 3 days per aircraft. This enables enough facility downtime to accomplish 441 support equipment corrosion control operations a year. The additional 8 aircraft will require 16 post phase paint touch-ups and 140 support equipment corrosion control operations. This is beyond the capability of the existing facility.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Aircraft will be sent off-base for painting.

This will also make them unavailable for mission tasking. Support equipment will not receive adequate corrosion protection resulting in reduced availabilty and responsiveness.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook

1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Michigan

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/K.I. SAWYER AFB, MI (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	16,725	86,684	5,950
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	15,900
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	8,000	19,613	4,000
Operation & Maintenance	13,500	16,006	3,936
Military Personnel - PCS	750	5,978	0
Other	500	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	39,475	128,281	29,786
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	39,475	128,281	29,786
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	4,248	12,542	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	4,248	12,542	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	1,200	3,000	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	19,940
Military Personnel	0	4,620	21,080
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-247	-247
Military ES	0	-676	-676
TOTAL SAVINGS	1,200	7,620	41,020
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	15,525	83,684	5,950
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	15,900
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	8,000	19,613	4,000
Operation & Maintenance	13,500	16,006	-16,004
Military Personnel - PCS	750	1,358	-21,080
Other	500	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	4,248	12,542	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	42,523	133,203	-11,234

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/K.I. SAWYER AFB, MI (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

7	$\boldsymbol{\wedge}$	т		T
1	U	1	А	Ŀ

				IOIAD
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	109,35
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	15,900
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	6,600	45,000	45,000	128,213
Operation & Maintenance	23,936	3,936	3,936	65,250
Military Personnel - PCS	0	. 0	0	6,728
Other	0	0	0	500
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	30,536	48,936	48,936	325,950
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	30,536	48,936	48,936	325,950
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	. 0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0,	0	16,790
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	16,79
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	1,400	7,300	16,300	29,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	27,280	35,570	36,350	119,140
Military Personnel	28,520	29,120	29,780	113,120
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-247	-247	-247	-247
Military ES	-676	-676	-676	-676
TOTAL SAVINGS	57,200	71,990	82,430	261,460
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	-1,400	-7,300	-16,300	80,159
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	15,900
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	6,600	45,000	45,000	128,213
Operation & Maintenance	-3,344	-31,634	-32,414	-53,890
Military Personnel - PCS Other	-28,520	-29,120	-29,780	-106,392
	0	0	0	500
Homeowners Assistance Program Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	16,790
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-26,664	-23,054	-33,494	81,280

## BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Michigan

Closure Package: K.I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan, will close FY 1995/4. The 410th Wing will inactivate. B-52H aircraft will transfer to Barksdale AFB, Louisiana. The Air Force will retire its B-52G aircraft instead of implementing the previous Base Closure Commission recommendation to transfer those aircraft from Castle AFB, California, to K.I. Sawyer AFB.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction	<u>on:</u>		
State/Installation	Project Title/Number	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1996			
Louisiana/Barksdale AFB	HAVE NAP Complex/AWUB962401	1996	2,600
New Jersey/McGuire AFB	Upgrade Roads*/PTFL943167	1996	1,400
New Jersey/McGuire AFB	Add to Aeromedical Clinic*/PTFL94317	4 1996	1,950

<sup>\*</sup>Previously shown as FY 1995 Project

#### **Conjunctively Funded Construction:**

	Fiscal	Total Cost	Conj Fund	
Location/Project Title	<u>Year</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	Source
Barksdale AFB/HAVE	NAP Comp 1996	8,400	6,000	AF MILCON
McGuire AFB/Aerome	dical Clinic 1997	19,950	18,000	Med MILCON
Family Housing	Construction:		-	
State (Installation	Dunings Tisle /Ni		Fiscal Year	Amount

		1 10000 1 000	7 Milouit
State/Installation	Project Title/Number	of Award	<u>(\$000)</u>

#### FY 1996

**Total 1996** 

New Jersey/McGuire AFB	Improve Fam Hsg (142 units)/PTFL954000X 1996	15,900
------------------------	--	--------

10tal 1996 15,90	00	
------------------	----	--

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.9 Million

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$4.0 Million.

5,950

**Savings:** Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

### K. I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT							2. DATE
	FY	1996 MILIT	ARY CONS	STRUCT	ION PROJECT	DATA	
AIR FORCE		( 00	omputer	gener	ated)		
3. INSTALLATI					4. PROJECT		
BARKSDALE AIR	FORCE	BASE, LOUIS	SIANA		BASE CLOSUR	E-HAVE NAP	COMPLEX
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJ	ECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST(\$000)
1.11.13		215-582		AWUB	962401		2,600

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	מ/ט	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-HAVE NAP COMPLEX	LS			1,800
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	6,000	240	(1,440)
INERT STORAGE	SF	3,000	120	( 360)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				530
UTILITIES	LS			( 10)
PAVEMENTS (CONCRETE)	SY	2,000	160	( 320)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 35)
FENCING	LF	900	67	( 60)
OVERHEAD CRANES	EA	4 [	26,250	( <u>105</u> )
SUBTOTAL				2,330
CONTINGENCY (5%)				117
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,447
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				147
TOTAL REQUEST				2,594
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,600
			1	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: A new 4 bay maintenance facility with 400 cycle power, ground, special receptacles, 3 ton over head bridge crane/bay, two overhead roll-up doors. Special inert storage facility. All concrete access, paving, lighting, and all necessary support as required.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 34,583 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 25,583 SF PROJECT: A new "HAVE NAP" maintenance complex.

REQUIREMENT: The closure of K. I. Sawyer AFB requires the relocation of the HAVE NAP (AGM) missile complex to Barksdale AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: No existing facilities are available which can support the HAVE NAP mission requirements. Without this project, munitions personnel can only maintain two weapons simultaneously, far below the number required for the HAVE NAP mission.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Successful HAVE NAP beddown will not be possible at full capability. Inadequate workarounds would be required, thereby compromising the combat capability of the Air Force and the mission impact of HAVE NAP assets.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT							2. DATE
FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer	r gener	ated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY BASE CLOSURE-UPGRADE ROADS					ROADS		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROS	FECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST(\$000)
					•	†	
4.18.96		851-147		PTFI	943167		1,400
Q COST ESTIMATES							

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES	•		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-UPGRADE ROADS	SY	360,000	3	1,080
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				110
UTILITIES	LS			( .55)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 40)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(15)
SUBTOTAL				1,190
CONTINGENCY (10%)			ı	119
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,309
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				79
TOTAL REQUEST				1,388
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,400
		İ		
				* *

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Repair existing roads that will be damaged by high volume of heavy vehicular construction equipment involved in BRAC MILCON program. Upgrade existing roads in the new construction area to carry the additional traffic.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Repair and upgrade base roads that, due to construction of new facilities dictated by BRAC action, will need repairs because of damage caused by construction activities.

REQUIREMENT: To support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. Repair the base roads severely damaged by the daily movement of conatruction vehicles. The main repair efforts will be on Arnold Avenue as it is closest to and runs parallel to the aircraft apron.

CURRENT SITUATION: The integrity of the existing roadway surfaces are sufficient for the current vehicular traffic. However, the heavy construction traffic associated with the BRAC projects will damage the roads and repairs will be necessary. The new facilities and increase in personnel will also require widening and realigning of some of the roads. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The construction activity will make the roads unusable.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUC	TION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer gene	rated)	
3. INSTALLAT:	ON AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
		BASE CLOSURE-AEROMED	ICAL
MCGUIRE AIR	FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	SERVICES CLINIC ADDI	TION
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PRO-	JECT NUMBER 8. PROJE	CT COST(\$000)

PTFL943174

510-001

9. COST ESTIMATES				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	· COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-AEROMEDICAL SERVICES				
CLINIC ADDITION	SF	10,500	140	1,470
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				270
UTILITIES	LS			(270)
SUBTOTAL				1,740
CONTINGENCY (5%)				87
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,827
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				110
TOTAL REQUEST				1,937
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,950
	+			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame, precast concrete walls, roof system and all necessary utilities and support, including all information systems requirements and facility systems operating manuals. Construct facility to modern functional and code standards.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 154,148 SF ADEQUATE: 32,400 SF SUBSTANDARD: 27,000 SF PROJECT: Aeromedical Services Clinic Addition.

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: A facility of adequate size and functional configuration is required to accommodate the KC-10 beddown from Barksdale AFB, LA. Under BRAC 93 over 668 active duty will be realigned to McGuire AFB, NJ. The facility will provide medical services to the operational flying mission. This project is supported by a facility site survey conducted by the Health Facilities Office-Eastern Region and representatives of the Air Mobility Command Surgeon's office. The DoD Medical Space Planning Criteria model was used to scope requirements.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing medical facility (previously Walson Army Community Hospital) is 33 years old, riddled with severe life safety, utility and mechanical system deficiencies. Projected expenditures in excess of \$67M are required to bring the facility up to NFPA Life Safety Code and provide a functional structure for delivery of health care in an ambulatory setting. A new facility (FY98) for non-BRAC driven health care requirements has been effectively sized for construction (\$18M) as an add-on to the BRAC MILCON.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Health care will severely suffer in a compromised, unsafe environment to the detriment of the patient population and staff.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

4.18.96

1,950

### K. I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Family Housing Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE	
FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUC	•	
AIR FORCE (computer gene	erated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
	BASE CLOSURE-IMPROVE FAMILY	ĺ
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	HOUSING	j
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PRO	DJECT NUMBER   8. PROJECT COST (\$000	$\Box$
	L954000X   15,900	ĺ
9. COST ESTIM	IATES	
	UNIT COST	
ITEM	U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	UN   142   75,000   10,650	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	3,621	
SITE WORK/COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS	LS   (3,195)	
ASBESTOS & LEAD BASE PAINT REMOVAL	LS ( 426)	-
SUBTOTAL	14,271	1
CONTINGENCY (5%)	714	1
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	14,985	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	899	-
TOTAL REQUEST	15,884	-
		ļ
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	15,900	-
<u> </u>		1
		1
MOCE EVERNICINE INTE		
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT \$136,824		
AREA COST FACTOR 1.19		l

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 142 Ft Dix units to | Air Force whole house/whole neighborhood standards.
  - | 11. REQUIREMENT: 142 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 142 UN | PROJECT: Improve family housing.

REQUIREMENT: To provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community for military members and their current familites assigned at McGuire AFB.

| CURRENT SITUATION: Adequate housing does not exist at McGuire AFB.
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and families will continue to be inadequately housed. Low morale and retention problems can be expected since suitable, affordable off-base housing is not available. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

### March Air Force Base, California Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 USAF/MARCH AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	62,174	11,324	19,570
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	34,647	35,665	24,000
Operation & Maintenance	10,500	6,031	35,603
Military Personnel - PCS	0	4,000	7,640
Other	256	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	107,577	57,020	86,813
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	107,577	57,020	86,813
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			,
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	30	21,434	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	30	21,434	0
SAVINGS:			,
Military Construction	2,650	7,700	5,130
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0,150
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	4,580
Military Personnel	0	4,670	21,310
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	-933
TOTAL SAVINGS	2,650	12,370	31,020
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	59,524	3,624	14,440
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	34,647	35,665	24,000
Operation & Maintenance	10,500	6,031	31,023
Ailitary Personnel - PCS	0	-670	-13,670
Other	256	0	0
Iomeowners Assistance Program	30	21,434	0
evenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	104,957	66,084	55,793
<b>—</b>			

## USAF/MARCH AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	,			
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL
Military Construction	0			FY 94 - 99
Family Housing - Construction	20,000	Ū		93,06
- Operations		_	· ·	20,000
Environmental	16.600	0	v	0
Operation & Maintenance	16,600	- ,	45,000	200,912
Military Personnel - PCS	45,603	1,603	1,603	100,943
Other	0	0	0	11,640
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	256
_	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS Revenues from Land Sales (-)	82,203	46,603	46,603	426,819
Revendes from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	82,203	46,603	46,603	426,819
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	_
Family Housing - Operations	0		0	. 0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	. 0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	· •	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	21,464
SAVINGS:	U	0	0	21,464
Military Construction				
Family Housing - Construction	14,850	0	0	30,330
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	15,300	15,630	15,970	51.480
Other	28,830	29,440	30,100	114,350
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
·	-933	-933	-933	-933
TOTAL SAVINGS	58,980	45,070	46,070	196.160
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-14,850	0	0	62,738
Family Housing - Construction	20,000	9 0	0	20,000
- Operations Invironmental	0	0	0	0
	16,600	45,000	45,000	200,912
peration & Maintenance	30,303		-14,367	49,463
filitary Personnel - PCS ther	-28,830		-30,100	-102,710
	0	0	0	256
omeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	21,464
evenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	23,223	1,533	533	252,123

EXHIBIT BC-02

### BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/March Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: March AFB, California, is scheduled for realignment in FY1996/2. The 22nd Air Refueling Wing will inactivate. The KC-10 (Active and Associate Reserve) aircraft relocates to Travis AFB, California. The Southwest Air Defense Sector Consolidation Study is complete and the mission will move to McChord AFB. The 445th Airlift Wing Air Force Reserve (AFRES), 452nd Air Refueling Wing (AFRES), 163rd Reconnaissance Group (ANG) (Becomes an Air Refueling Group), the Air Force Audit Agency, the Media Center, and the ANG Air Defense Alert mission will remain. The base will convert to a reserve base. Additionally, the Army Corps of Engineers Unit, the US Customs Aviation Operation Center West, and the Drug Enforcement Agency aviation unit will remain at March.

#### One Time Implementation Costs:

#### Military Construction:

State/Installation	Project Title/Number	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1996			
California/March AFB	Alter Wing HQ/Admin (AFR)*/PCZP9590	01 1996	1,350
California/March AFB	Alter Medical Trng Fac (AFR)*/PCZP9590	003 1996	1,550
California/March AFB	Munitions Complex (AFR)/PCZP959008	1996	1,850
California/March AFB	BCE Maint Shops/Stor (AFR)*/PCZP95900	02 1996	970
California/March AFB	Alter Dining Hall (AFR)*/PCZP959005	1996	1,100
California/March AFB	Isolate Util/Perm Fence (AFR)*/PCZP9590	04 1996	2,250
California/March AFB	Alter Support Facilities (AFR)*/PCZP9590	07 1996	300
California/Travis AFB	Upgrade Roads*/XDAT953320	1996	300
California/Travis AFB	Combat Camera/XDAT963100	1996	9,900
Total 1996			19,570

<sup>\*</sup>Previously shown as FY 1995 Project

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$35.6 Million

Military Personnel - PCS: \$7.6 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$24.0 Million.

**Savings:** Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the facility. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

#### March AFB, California Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
USAFR	(computer generated)
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION  4. PROJECT TITLE  BASE CLOSURE-ALTER WING HQ/
MARCH AIR FOR	CE BASE, CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

610-282

PCZP959001

9. COST ESTIMATI	25			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER WING HQ/				
ADMINISTRATIVE	LS			1,130
ALTER WING HEADQUARTERS	LS			( 150)
ALTER BOS ADMINISTRATION	LS			( 650)
TERMINAL OPERATIONS	LS			( 150)
ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN-UP (CANTONMENT)	LS			( 180)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				35
UTILITIES	LS			(35)
SUBTOTAL		1		1,165
CONTINGENCY (10%)				117
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			1,282
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				77
TOTAL REQUEST				1,359
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,350

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter facilities to house various Air Force Reserve administration functions. Includes all necessary alterations for supporting utilities. Buildings 470/2313/ 2314 are for administrative space and 385 for mobility.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

55396F

PROJECT: Alter existing base facilities for Wing Headquarters/Base Command Post , Base Operating Support (BOS), and Mobility Processing. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Alteration of existing space is required to consolidate Wing HQ, and Key Wing Staff. Consolidate the expanded host BOS functions into the central base area. The Wing HQ will house the 452nd Air Refueling Wing (ARW), and will be located in the existing Base/Wing HQs Building. BOS administration requirements include Financial Management, Personnel, Contracting, Social Actions, Recruting, Public Affairs, Chaplain, Safety, etc. administration, and standardization/evaluation. Mobility Operations is required to house a limited passenger & air freight operation. CURRENT SITUATION: The 22nd ARW currently provides BOS to the 445th AW

and the 452nd ARW of the Air Force Reserve. Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve will assume host base responsibilities for all remaining DOD agencies. The identified existing facilities can be altered to house the Reserve functions required by this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provided by this project the AFRES will not be able to properly sustain their mission at March AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1,350

1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE
F	Y 1996 MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TION PR	OJECT DATA	A	
USAFR	(comput	er genei	rated)			
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION		4. PRO	JECT TITL	Ε	
			BASE C	LOSURE-AL	TER MEDI	CAL
MARCH AIR FORCE BA				NG FACILI		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	JECT NU	MBER 8. 1	PROJECT	COST (\$000
55396F	171-443		959003			1,550
<del></del>	9. COS'	T ESTIMA	TES			
					UNIT	COST
	ITEM		U/M	YTITHAUQ	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER	MEDICAL TRAINING					
FACILITIES (W/ANG)			LS			1,236
ALTER MEDICAL ADM			SF	12,000	19	
ALTER MEDICAL TR			SF	18,000	56	( -,
SUPPORTING FACILIT	IES					80
UTILITIES SUBTOTAL			LS			(80
			Ī			1,316
CONTINGENCY (10%)	•					132
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						1,448
SUPERVISION, INSPEC	STION AND OVERHEAD	) (6%)				87
FOTAL REQUEST	IDED)					1,535
IOTAL REQUEST (ROUN	NDED)					1,550
				İ		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter facilities to house Medical Administration (building 625) and a Medical Training Clinic (building 2300). Includes all necessary utilities and support.

11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0
PROJECT: Alter Medical Administration and Medical Training Clinic.
REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Alteration of existing space is required for Medical Administration and for a Medical Training Clinic.
Medical Administration facility will nouse Detachment 4, 11th Contingency Hospital (DET 4, 11th USAFCH), the 414th Medical Services Squadron (MSES), and the 42nd Aeromedical Patient Staging Squadron (APPS). The Medical Clinic facility will house the 452nd USAF Clinic as well as the Air National Guard Clinic. Functional space requirements include administration, laboratory space, and examination rooms.
CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve along with the Air National Guard will consolidate into a cantonment area. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provide by this project the 452 USAF Clinic, the 414th MSES, the Det 4, 11th USAFCH, the 42nd APSS, and the ANG Clinic will not be able to properly sustain their medical mission at March AFB.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT	·		2. DATE			
	FY 1996 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA			
USAFR	(compute	er generated)				
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT T	TITLE			
MARCH AIR FORCE	MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA BASE CLOSURE-MUNITION					
5. PROGRAM ELEME	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)			
55.39.6F	422-253	PCZP959008	1,850			

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES	·		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-MUNITIONS COMPLEX	LS			1,278
MULTICUBICLE MAGAZINE STORAGE	SF	2,000	210	( 420)
MISSILE MAINTENANCE SHOP	SF	900	320	( 288)
STORAGE IGLOO	SF	1,800	210	( 378)
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE ADMIN	SF	1,200	160	( 192)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				385
UTILITIES	LS			( 115)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 145)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(125)
SUBTOTAL				1,663
CONTINGENCY (5%)				83
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,746
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				105
TOTAL REQUEST				1,851
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,850

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation, concrete floor slab, concrete walls and roof structure. Includes access roads, all necessary utilities and support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 5,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

PROJECT: Construct a new Munitions Complex.

REQUIREMENT: The Realignment of March AFB requires a new munitions complex to support the NORAD Mission assigned to the Air National Guard (ANG) with F-16 aircraft, Air Force Reserve (AFRES) and ANG training, and mobility munitions storage. Space is required for administration, a training classroom, multicube magazine storage, a missile maintenance shop, and a munitions storage igloo.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB, AFRES and ANG will consolidate into a cantonment area. There are no adequate facilities within the cantonment area that can meet the requirements of this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: AFRES and ANG will not be able to properly sustain their missions at March AFB.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT						12	. DATE	
Į	ļ <sub>103</sub>	2 1006 MITTE	NDV O	ONCORDITO	TON DOOTEON	-		
[	F -	1990 WILTI	ARI C	ONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA		
USAFR		( c	omput	er gene	rated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
	BASE CLOSURE-BCE MAINTENANCE							
MARCH AIR FOR					SHOP AND ST			
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJ	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST(\$000)	
·								
55396F		219-944		PCZI	959002		970	
	9 COST PSTIMATES							

J. COST ESTIMATE				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	·cost	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-BCE MAINTENANCE SHOP AND				
STORAGE	LS			808
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINT SHOP	SF	8,000	87	(696)
CIVIL ENGINEER COVERED STORAGE	SF	4,000	28	(112)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				60
UTILITIES	LS			(40)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		}	(_20)
SUBTOTAL				868
CONTINGENCY (5%)				43
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				911
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				_55
TOTAL REQUEST				966
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				970
/				
		1		

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct new facilities to match existing construction at March AFB. Includes all necessary support and utilities.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 19,500 SF ADEQUATE: 7,500 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0
  PROJECT: Construct a new Base Civil Engineer (BCE) Maintenance Shop, and BCE Covered Storage.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequate and appropriately designed space is required for a BCE Maintenance Shop, and a BCE Covered Storage Facility. The BCE Maintenance Shop requires shop space for carpentry, plumbing/heating, refrigeration, electrical, sheet-metal, welding, and painting. Space is also required for storage of bench stock and tools. BCE covered storage is required for the supplies and materials used by the BCE organization in its day-to-day activities.

CURRENT SITUATION: The 22nd ARW currently provides base operating support (BOS) to the Air Force Reserves (445 Airlift Wing & 452 Air Refueling Wing). Upon realignment of March AFB, AFRES will consolidate into a cantonment area and assume host base responsibilities. There are no adequate facilities in the cantonment area for the BCE Maintenance Shop, and BCE Covered Storage.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the facilities provided by this project, the Air Force Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at March AFB.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

•	1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
	AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
•	3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE	

BASE CLOSURE-ALTER DINING HALL MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

5 53 96F 722-351 PCZP959005 1,100

5.53.901	/22-351	FCZF939	7005			1,100
	9. COST ESTIMATES					
					UNIT	COST
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER	DINING HALL		SF	30,000	31	930
SUPPORTING FACILITY	IES					10
UTILITIES			LS			( 5)
PAVEMENTS			LS			(5)
SUBTOTAL						940
CONTINGENCY (10%)						94
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	ŗ					1,034
SUPERVISION, INSPEC	CTION AND OVERHEAD	(6%)				62
TOTAL REQUEST						1,096
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUN	NDED)					1,100
•						
				<u> </u>		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Building 110-Alter existing Officer's club as an essential eating facility. Work includes all necessary utilities and support.

Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 30,000 SF PROJECT: Alter facility for a Dining Hall

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequate and appropriately designed space is required for a Dining Hall to serve Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard personnel. Space is required for a dining area, a dishwashing room, and a kitchen that includes space for food preparation and storage.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve (445th Aircraft Wing & 452nd Air Refueling Wing) and the Air National Guard will consolidate into a cantonment area. The existing dining facility is not within the proposed cantonment area. There are no adequate facilities in the cantonment that can be used to meet the requirements of this project. However, the existing Officer's Club is in the cantonment area and can be converted to an essential eating facility. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the facility provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to efficiently provide meals for unit training assemblies at March AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT	
12   DA	TE
FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
2 INCMATIANTON (Computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE	
MARCH AIR ROBOR DAGE CLOSURE-ISOLATE UTILITY	TIES
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST	T(\$000)
5530cp	
7 300-100 PCZP959004 2.2	250
9. COST ESTIMATES	
	COST
BASE CLOSURE-ISOLATE UTILITIES U/M QUANTITY COST (S	(000
PERIMETER SECURITOR DENGE	
ISOLATE OF UNIT LITTING AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	2,020
DEDIMETED CECUDITAL TONOR	(1,860)
SUBTOTAL LS LS	160)
	2,020
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	101
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	2,121
TOTAL REQUEST	127
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	2,248
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	2,250

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new perimeter fence, and modify utilities to serve the Air Force Reserve cantonment area.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct perimeter security fence and isolate utilities.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequate quantities of water,
gas, and electricity must be available to the Reserves cantonment area. A
perimeter security fence is required to contain and protect the cantonment
area.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate into a cantonment area. The existing base perimeter is much larger than the proposed cantonment, which makes a new perimeter essential. Existing utility lines can be altered and metered to accommodate the new cantonment area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations and security fencing provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly secure and sustain their mission at March AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT				2. DATE					
F	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
USAFR	(compute	er generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
		BASE CLOSUR	E-ALTER SU	IPPORT					
MARCH AIR FORCE BAS	SE, CALIFORNIA_	FACILITIES							
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST (\$000)					
İ			,						
55396F	610-000	PCZP959007		300					
	9. COST ESTIMATES								

J. 0001 D01111111				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES	LS			257
REMOVE LEAD PAINT AND ASBESTOS	LS			( <u>257</u> )
SUBTOTAL				257
CONTINGENCY (10%)				_26
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				283
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				_17
TOTAL REQUEST				300
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				300
	1			
	1			
	l			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter MWR facilities and remove lead paint and asbestos cantonment wide. Work includes all necessary modifications to utilities and necessary support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Alter the Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR) Logistic
Operations.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequately and appropriately altered space is required. MWR requires space for administrative functions and for the storage of rental equipment. This project also includes the removal of lead paint and asbestos when required throughout the cantonment area for buildings not being modified under any other MILCON program.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB the Air Force Reserve will consolidate into a cantonment area and assume host base responsibilities. The Reserves will support MWR operations at March AFB. There are existing facilities that can be altered to meet the requirements of this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this project the Air Force Reserve will not be able to accommodate the MWR operations.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1. COMPONENT							2. DATE
FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
TRAVIS AIR FO	TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA BASE CLOSURE-UPGRADE						
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJ	ECT NUMBER	8. PROJ	ECT COST(\$000)
4 18 96	İ	851-147	,	<b>יי</b> מתצ	953320		300

res			
		UNIT	COST
U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
LS			214
			45
LS			(15)
LS			(15)
LS	1		(15)
			259
	ĺ		26
			285
			17
			302
[			300
	İ		
	1		
	İ		
	U/M LS LS	U/M QUANTITY LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST LS LS LS LS

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade existing roads in the new construction area to carry the additional traffic caused by the increase in personnel associated with the KC-10.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Repair and upgrade base roads that, due to construction of new facilities dictated by BRAC action, will need repairs because of damage caused by construction activities.

REQUIREMENT: BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA requires over \$150 million of new construction. Daily movement of construction equipment on the main roads will cause severe deteriation and require upgrade. The main roads that will need upgrade Hangar Ave, E St, Vandenburg Rd, and Hickam Ave.

CURRENT SITUATION: The integrity of the existing roadway surfaces are sufficient for the current vehicular traffic. However, the heavy construction traffic associated with the BRAC projects will damage the roads and repairs will be necessary. The new facilities and increase in personnel will also require widening and realigning of some of the roads. <a href="IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED">IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</a>: The construction activity will make the roads unusable. The increased volume on the roads caused by newly assigned personnel supporting the KC-10 realignment will cause a tremendous congestion.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

•	1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
		FY 1996 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
	AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)	
	3. INSTALLATION A	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT 1	TITLE
	TRAVIS AIR FORCE	BASE, CALIFORNIA	BASE CLOSURE	E-COMBAT CAMERA
	5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
	4.18.96	218-712	XDAT963100	9.900

9. COST ESTIMATE	ES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-COMBAT CAMERA	LS			6,925
COMBAT CAMERA SQUADRON	SF	39,000	175	(6,825)
RELOCATE OBSTACLE COURSE	LS		•	( 100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,975
UTILITIES	LS			( 630)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 310)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 270)
COMMUNICATIONS DUCTS	LS			( 625)
SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT	LS			( <u>140</u> )
SUBTOTAL				8,900
CONTINGENCY (5%)				445
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				9,345
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1			<u> 561</u>
TOTAL REQUEST				9,906
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,900
	1			•
	1			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, and standing seam metal roof. Includes offices, storage, utilities, and other necessary support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct a facility for the 2nd Combat Camera Squadron.

REQUIREMENT: The realignment of March AFB to the Air Force Reserves under BRAC 93, requires relocation of the 2nd Combat Camera Squadron to Travis AFB. Space is required for gathering and processing still photography, videography, and electronic imagery converting information recorded photographically and electronically into usable intelligence, historical documentation, training review, and provide an area where such information could be reviewed and edited by authorized personnel. This building will provide adequate areas for offices, maintenance, storage, electronic imaging centers, and editing suites for personnel and associated mobility bags and equipment.

CURRENT SITUATION: Presently there is no such facility located at Travis AFB.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The special mission of this unit cannot be performed with out this facility.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

#### Plattsburgh Air Force Base, New York Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

### USAF/PLATTSBURGH AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,000	12,863	14,000
Operation & Maintenance	11,200	15,034	3,934
Military Personnel - PCS	996	4,000	0,754
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	17,196	31,897	17,934
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	17,196	31,897	17,934
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	^
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	11	11	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	11	11	0
SAVINGS:		11	0
Military Construction	0	2.50	
Family Housing - Construction	0	3,150	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	5 400	0
Other	0	5,490	25,060
Civilian ES		0	0
Military ES	0 0	0 0	-260
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	8,640	-837 25,060
VET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			,
Ailitary Construction	0	2.150	•
amily Housing - Construction	0	-3,150	0
- Operations	0	0	0
nvironmental	5,000	12.962	0
peration & Maintenance	11,200	12,863	14,000
filitary Personnel - PCS	996	15,034	3,934
ther	0	-1,490	-25,060
omeowners Assistance Program	11	0 11	0
evenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0 0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	17,207	23,268	-7,126
F1/17*			

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PLATTSBURGH AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	1104-03
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	8,900	45,000	45,000	130,763
Operation & Maintenance	23,934	3,934		
Military Personnel - PCS			3,934	61,970
Other	0	0	0	4,996
	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	32,834	48,934	48,934	197,729
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	32,834	48,934	48,934	197,729
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	. 0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	. 0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	22
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	. 0	2
SAVINGS:				*
Military Construction	0	0	0	3,150
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	18,750	19,150	19,570	57,470
Military Personnel	33,890	34,610	35,390	134,440
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-260	-260	-260	-260
Military ES	-837	-837	-837	-837
TOTAL SAVINGS	52,640	53,760	54,960	195,060
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	-3,150
Family Housing - Construction	0	. 0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	8,900	45,000	45,000	130,763
Operation & Maintenance	5,184	-15,216	-15,636	4,500
Military Personnel - PCS	-33,890	-34,610	-35,390	-129,444
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	22
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-19,806	-4,826	-6,026	2,691

### BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/Plattsburgh Air Force Base, New York

Closure Package: Plattsburgh AFB, New York, is scheduled for closure by FY 1995/4. Funding in 1996 is for caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.9 Million

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenue from Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$14.0 Million

**Savings:** Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Homestead Air Force Base, Florida

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, FL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	1,600	0	25,400
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	15,007	10,074	9,000
Operation & Maintenance	5,500	3,317	1,306
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	20	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	22,127	13,391	35,706
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	22,127	13,391	35,706
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	ŭ	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	752 753	218	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	752	218	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	8,640	19,630	20,090
Military Personnel Other	0	4,570	20,850
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	-913	-913	-913
TOTAL SAVINGS	8,640	24,200	40,940
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	1,600	0	25,400
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	15,007	10,074	9,000
Operation & Maintenance	-3,140	-16,313	-18,784
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-4,570	-20,850
Other	20	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	752	218	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,239	-10,591	-5,234

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, FL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	27,00
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	. 0	0
Environmental	7,300	10,000	20,000	71,381
Operation & Maintenance	21,306	1,306	1,306	34,041
Military Personnel - PCS	0	. 0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	20
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	28,606	11,306	21,306	132,442
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	28,606	11,306	21,306	132,442
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	970
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	97
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	20,530	20,970	21,430	111,290
Military Personnel	28,210	28,810	29,450	111,890
Other	0	0	0	()
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	-913	-913	-913	-913
TOTAL SAVINGS	48,740	49,780	50,880	223,180
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			_	
Military Construction	0	0	0	27,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	()
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	7,300	10,000	20,000	71.381
Operation & Maintenance	776	-19,664	-20,124	-77,249
Military Personnel - PCS	-28,210	-28,810	-29,450	-111,890
Other	0	0	0	20
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	970
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-20,134	-38,474	-29,574	-89,768

### BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/Homestead Air Force Base, Florida

Closure Package: Homestead AFB, Florida will realign in FY 1994/2. Requirements in 1995 are due to construction at receiving bases, and environmental cleanup at Homestead.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

#### **Military Construction:**

State/Installation		al Year Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1995			
Flordia/MacDill AFB	Isolate Utilities/NVZR940081	1996	400
S. Carolina/Shaw AFB	Special Operations (726 CRS)/VLSB943013	1996	8,400
Texas/Lackland AFB	IAAFA Student Officers Quarters/MPLS963240	1996	4,250
Texas/Lackland AFB	IAAFA Technical Trng Classroom/MPLS96324	1 1996	4,250
Texas/Lackland AFB	IAAFA Enlisted Dormitory/MPLS963244	1996	8,100
Total 1996			25,400

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.3 Million

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$9.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

#### Homestead AFB, Florida Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT								·		2	. DATE
	FY	199	96 MILITA	ARY C	ONS	TRUCI	'ION	PROJECT	DA:	ra	
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE											
BASE CLOSURE-UTILIT							TILITIES				
MACDILL AIR F	MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA ISOLATION										
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT	6. C	CATEGORY	CODE	7.	PROJ	ECT	NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST(\$000)
2.75.94			872-245			NV2R	9400	181			400

9. COST ESTIMAT	res			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-UTILITIES ISOLATION	LS			300
METERING & UTILITY RE-ROUTING	LS			(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				55
PAVEMENTS	LS			(55)
SUBTOTAL	Ì			355
CONTINGENCY (5%)				18
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				373
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				
TOTAL REQUEST				395
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				400

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reroute utilities to isolate the flightline facilities being turned over to the Dept of Commerce (NOAA). All necessary support is included.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Provides water and electrical connections to new delivery points
at base perimeter.

REQUIREMENT: New connections to outside utility sources are required to provide continuing support to MacDill AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: The realignment of MacDill AFB will define a new perimeter for the base. Geographically separated facilities, including several communication sites, will require metering if they become geopraphically separated.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the proposed construction, the perimeter of MacDill AFB will be included in the utility billing for the Prime Base facilities.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT								2	. DATE
	FY 1	.995 MILITA	ARY CC	NSTRUC'	NOIT	PROJECT	DA'	ra	
AIR FORCE		(00	mpute	r gene	cated	.)			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION			4. P	ROJECT	TIT	LE	
					BASE	CLOSUR	E-SI	PECIAL	
SHAW AIR FORC	E BASE,	SOUTH CARC	LINA		OPER	ATIONS			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE	7. PRO	JECT	NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST(\$000)
100.11		141-454	I	VLS	39430	13			8,400

9. COST ESTIMAT			UNIT	COST
TMBW	11 / 24	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
ITEM	SF	63,000	101	6,285
BASE CLOSURE-SPECIAL OPERATIONS		1		•
SPECIAL OPERATIONS	SF	18,000	96	(1,728)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE	SF	13,000	79	(1,027)
COMMUNICATIONS MAINTENANCE	SF	27,000	120	(3,240)
BASE SUPPLIES & EQUIP WAREHOUSE	SF	5,000	58	( 290)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,275
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 595)
UTILITIES	LS			( 230)
FENCING, SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 155)
CORROSION CONTROL SUPPORT	LS			( <u>295</u> )
SUBTOTAL				7,560
CONTINGENCY (5%)		İ		378
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,938
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				476
TOTAL REQUEST				8,414
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,400

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Metal frame building, concrete floor and foundation, prefinished masonry exterior panels, and standing seam metal roof; parking, access road, sidewalks, fencing, above ground fuel storage tank, water, sewer and electric service will be included. Space will be provided for operations, administration, radar maintenance, support equipment maintenance, vehicle maintenance, and corrosion control.

11. REQUIREMENT: 95,000 SF ADEQUATE: 12,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 20,000 SP PROJECT: Construction of a Special Operations facility for a Control and Reporting Center.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead AFB. This facility is required to provide space for the operations, maintenance and administration of the 726 Air Control Squadron. This squadron has the mission of controlling offensive and defensive aircraft missions. This facility will provide the means for preparing and maintaining combat-ready personnel and equipment who provide the communications, electronic power generation, and radar control systems for the air component commander.

CURRENT SITUATION: The 726 ACS facilities were destroyed by Hurricane Andrew at Homestead Air Force Base. The Unit was relocated to Shaw Air Force Base where temporary facilities were installed. These temporary facilities do not provide all the necessary features to facilitate the efficient operation, training and maintenance of personnel and equipment. Vehicle maintenance, radar maintenance and computer maintenance are located in storage type facilities. The operations and administration functions are conducted in relocatable buildings. Vehicle and support equipment corrosion control must be accomplished in another squadron's facility which causes scheduling problems and hampers both squadron's abilities to perform their mission.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	
SHAW AIR FORC	E BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA	
4. PROJECT TI	TLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	SPECIAL OPERATIONS	VLSB943013

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The 726 ACS will continue to operate in substandard facilities. Eventually, their effectiveness could be degraded to a point that may affect the Air Force's ability to deliver munitions in a timely and accurate manner.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

T2	·									
1. COMPONENT									2	. DATE
	FY 19	96 MILITA	ARY CO	rznc	RUCT:	ION	PROJECT	DA:	ra	
AIR FORCE		( 00	ompute	er g	gener	ate	d)		ł	
3. INSTALLATI	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
					1	BASI	E CLOSURI	E-IA	AFA STUI	DENT
LACKLAND AIR	FORCE BAS	E, TEXAS				OFF:	ICERS QUA	ARTE	ERS	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE	7.	PROJ	ECT	NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST(\$000)
							i			
8.57.96		724-417			MPLS	9632	240			4,250

9. COST ESTIMAT	res			
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT · COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-IAAFA STUDENT OFFICERS QUARTERS SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS PAVEMENTS RELOCATE BUILDINGS EMCS CONNECTION SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	SF LS LS SF LS	31,000	91	2,821 985 (165) (165) (165) (415) (75) 3,806 190 3,996 240 4,236 4,250

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry walls, concrete foundations and floor slabs, structural frame and metal roof system. Includes relocation of existing facilities, mechanical equipment room, utilities, EMCS connection, parking, and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 80 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 31,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0
PROJECT: Construct 50 PN Student Officers Quarters for the Inter-American
Air Forces Academy (IAAFA).

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead AFB. Provide IAAFA officer students with adequate quarters meeting DoD and Air Force standards. Properly designed and furnished quarters for IAAFA's officer students are essential to the successful accomplishment of the IAAFA mission. IAAFA requires billeting for 15 officer students in grades O1-O2, and 35 officer students in grades O3 and above.

CURRENT SITUATION: When Hurricane Andrew destroyed Homestead AFB, IAAFA temporarily relocated to Lackland Air Force Base. IAAFA's officer students are residing in quarters with central latrines, intended for lower grade enlisted students. These quarters are far below Air Force or DoD standards for unaccompanied officer personnel. No other existing facilities are available on Lackland AFB to meet IAAFA officer billeting requirements. Two existing wooden structures in the footprint of the new facility will be relocated and the basements demolished. These two facilities are currently used to train military working dogs, and the space requirement for that function remains.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: IAAFA's foreign officer students, who are guests of the United States government, will continue to reside in substandard quarters with central latrines, creating a very poor impression of the

1. COMPONENT FY 19	996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	r DATA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)			_
3. INSTALLATION AND LO				
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. P	ROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-IAAFA ST	JDENT OFFICERS QUARTERS	M	PLS963240	

United States Air Force, and possibly jeopardizing the attractiveness of IAAFA as a training mechanism for our Central and South American neighbors. Forcing IAAFA's officer students to continue to reside in substandard quarters also jeopardizes IAAFA's objective of introducing foreign students to US culture and standards of living.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE		
	FY 1996 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA		
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)			
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE		
	BASE CLOSURE - IAAFA				
LACKLAND AIR FO	RCE BASE, TEXAS	TRAINING CL	ASSROOM		
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	171-621	MPLS963241	4,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					

J. COST ESTIMATE.	_			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE - IAAFA TECHNICAL TRAINING				
CLASSROOM	SF	23,700	130	3,081
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				725
UTILITIES	LS			( 185)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 185)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 185)
DEMOLISH BUILDINGS	LS			( 105)
EMCS CONNECTION	LS			(65)
SUBTOTAL				3,806
CONTINGENCY (5%)				190
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,996
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				240
TOTAL REQUEST				4,236
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,250
		į		
·		ļ		

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, and metal roof system. Includes auditorium, classrooms, labs/shops, and equipment storage space. Demolition of existing facilities, mechanical equipment room, utilities, EMCS connection, parking and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 70 Tons.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 23,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

  PROJECT: Construct a classroom/laboratory/shop and equipment storage facility for the Inter-American Air Forces Academy (IAAFA).

  REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead Air Force Base. A facility adequately sized and configured to provide 26 classrooms/labs/shops, plus

adequately sized and configured to provide 26 classrooms/labs/shops, plus training equipment storage space, for the non-flightline portion of the IAAFA curriculum, is required.

CURRENT SITUATION: When Hurricane Andrew destroyed Homestead AFB, IAAFA temporarily relocated to Lackland AFB into interim facilities. Classes for non-flightline portion of the IAAFA curriculum are currently being taught in a limited number of classrooms hastily constructed in a bay of a Recruit Housing and Training facility. This facility does not have sufficient space to meet all of IAAFA's classroom requirements. No other existing facilities meeting IAAFA's requirements are available at Lackland AFB.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: IAAFA will be unable to attain their required training capability, thus limiting the ability of the US government to provide essential training for our Central and South American allies.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1996 MILITARY CONST	RUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer o	generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
	ENLISTED		
LACKLAND AIR			
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7.	PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJE	CT COST(\$000)

8.57.96 721-312 MPLS963244 8,100

9. COST_ESTIMATES						
			UNIT	COST		
ITEM	ע/ש	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)		
BASE CLOSURE-IAAFA ENLISTED DORMITORY	SF	61,000	92	5,612		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1	ĺ		1,675		
UTILITIES	LS			( 365)		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 365)		
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 365)		
DEMOLISH BUILDINGS	SF	26,000	18	( 470)		
EMCS CONNECTION	LS			(110)		
SUBTOTAL				7,287		
CONTINGENCY (5%)				364		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,651		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				459		
TOTAL REQUEST				8,110		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		·		8,100		
			i			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry walls, concrete foundations and floor slabs, structural frame and metal roof system. Includes demolition of existing facilities, mechanical equipment room, utilities, EMCS connection, parking and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 150 Tons.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 61,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

  PROJECT: Construct a 320 PN Student Enlisted Dormitory Facility for the Inter-American Air Forces Academy (IAAFA).

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead AFB. Provide IAAFA enlisted students with adequate quarters meeting DoD and Air Force standards. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the IAAFA mission.

CURRENT SITUATION: When Hurricane Andrew destroyed Homestead AFB, IAAFA temporarily relocated to Lackland Air Force Base. IAAFA enlisted students are residing in an open bay dormitory with central latrines (a Recruit Housing and Training facility). No other existing facilities are available on Lackland AFB to meet IAAFA enlisted billeting requirements. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: IAAFA's foreign students, who are guests of the United States government, will continue to reside in open bay dormitories. Forcing IAAFA students to continue to reside in substandard quarters also jeopardizes IAAFA's objective of introducing foreign students to Us culture and standards of living.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

#### O'Hare International Airport Air Force Reserve Station, Illinois Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE INTERNATIONAL ARS, IL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Revenues from Danie outes ( )	O	v	Ü
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	_		
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	. 0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	. 0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE INTERNATIONAL ARS, IL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSAN	DS)			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	6
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	. 0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	. 0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	•
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	. 0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental ,	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	O
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

EXHIBIT BC-02

54

### BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/O'Hare International Airport Air Force Reserve Station, Illinois

Closure Package: The Commission recommended closing O'Hare ARS as proposed by the City of Chicago and relocate the assigned Air Reserve Component units to the Greater Rockford Airport, or another location acceptable to the Secretary of the Air Force (in consultation and agreement with the receiving location), provided the City of Chicago can demonstrate that it has the financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities (except for FAA grants for airport planning and development that would otherwise be eligible for federal financial assistance to serve the needs of civil aviation at the receiving location), environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards or a faster schedule than DoD would be obliged to meet if the base did not close, without any cost whatsoever to the federal government, and further provided that the closure/realignment must begin by July 1995 and be completed by July 1998. Chicago would also have to fund the cost of relocating the Army Reserve activity, or leave it in place. If these conditions are not met, the units should remain at O'Hare International Airport. No funding requirements presently exist for O'Hare.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GENTILE AFS, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	150	150	87
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	150	150	87
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	150	150	87
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	. 0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	150	150	87
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D.	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	150	150	87

EXHIBIT BC-02

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GENTILE AFS, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(2)	ŕ			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	40,432	40,432	80,864
Operation & Maintenance	87	87	87	648
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	87	40,519	40,519	81,512
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	87	40,519	40,519	81,512
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	. 0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	40,432	40,432	80,864
Operation & Maintenance	87	87	87	648
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	U	U
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	87	40,519	40,519	81,512

### BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton Ohio

Closure Package: The Commission recommended the closing of Gentile Air Force Station, except for space required to operate the Defense Switching Network. Specifically, the Defense Electronics Supply Center (DESC) at Gentile Air Force Station will close and relocate to Columbus, Ohio

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.1 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

**Savings:** Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

#### Newark Air Force Base, Ohio

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/NEWARK AFB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	70	2,562	200
Operation & Maintenance	2,230	8,126	50,208
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,000	262
Other	0	1,200	1,053
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,300	12,888	51,723
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	2,500	12,666	01,725
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	U	U	U
BUDGET REQUEST	2,300	12,888	51,723
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	. 0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	610
Military Personnel	0	460	2,100
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	-92	-92
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	460	2,710
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	70	2,562	200
Operation & Maintenance	2,230	8,126	49,598
Military Personnel - PCS	0	540	-1,838
Other	0	1,200	1,053
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2,300	12,428	49,013

59

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/NEWARK AFB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ı	٦,	$\cap$	М	r	•	T
ı			, ,		м	1

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 92
Military Construction	0	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	10,300	10,000	18,937	42,069
Operation & Maintenance	26,704	371	371	88,010
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	1,262
Other	0	0	0	2,253
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	37,004	10,371	19,308	133,594
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	37,004	10,371	19,308	133,594
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	4,980	0	0	4,980
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,750	4,880	4,990	13,230
Military Personnel	2,840	2,900	2,970	11,270
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	. 0
Military ES	-92	-92	-92	-92
TOTAL SAVINGS	10,570	7,780	7,960	29,480
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	-4,980	0	0	-4,980
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	10,300	10,000	18,937	42,069
Operation & Maintenance	23,954	-4,509	-4,619	74,780
Military Personnel - PCS Other	-2,840	-2,900	-2,970	-10,008
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	2,253
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	
	U	U	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	26,434	2,591	11,348	104,114

### BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force Depot/Newark Air Force Base, Ohio

Closure Package: The Commission recommended Newark AFB, Ohio, for closure. The Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center depot will be closed; some workload will move to other depot maintenance activities including the private sector.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: \$0.3 Million

Operation and Maintenance: \$50.2 Million

Other Procurement: \$1.1 Million

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$.2 Million

**Savings:** Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

#### U. S. Air Force Program Management Summary

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	32,061	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	500	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	6,085	8,630	10,600
Operation & Maintenance	12,436		4,704
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	51,082	14,352	15,304
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Revenues from Daile Buies ( )	Ů	v	v
BUDGET REQUEST	51,082	14,352	15,304
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	. 0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	32,061	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	500	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	6,085	8,630	10,600
Operation & Maintenance	12,436	5,722	4,704
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	51,082	14,352	15,304

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	32,06
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	500
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	13,000	9,400	13,000	60,715
Operation & Maintenance	33,427	35,784	35,784	127,857
Military Personnel - PCS	0	. 0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	46,427	45,184	48,784	221,133
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	.0
BUDGET REQUEST	46,427	45,184	48,784	221,133
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	. 0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	. 0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	•
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	. 0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	. 0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	32,061
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	500
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	13,000	9,400	13,000	60,715
Operation & Maintenance	33,427	35,784	35,784	127,857
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	U
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	46,427	45,184	48,784	221,133

### BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Program Management

Closure Package: This exhibit displays overall one-time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, and combines the costs of program management as a result of the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. These costs generally include military construction, planning and design, environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements. In 1996 and 1997, program management requirements are limited to salaries, TDY, and overhead expenses.

#### One Time Implementations Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$4.7 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$10.6 Million

Savings: Savings are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

### BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT - BRAC 93 (PART III) MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS BY STATE

California		
March AF Reserve Base		
96	Alter Wing HQ/Admin	1,350
96	Alter Medical Training Facilities	1,550
96	Alter Weapons Storage	1,850
96	BCE Maintenance Shop/Storage	970
96	Alter Dining Hall	1,100
96	Isolate Utilities/Perimeter Fence	2,250
96	Alter Support Facilities	300
Travis AFB		
96	Upgrade Roads	300
96	Combat Camera	9,900
Florida	•	•
MacDill AFB		
96	Isolate Utilities	400
Louisiana		
Barksdale AFB		
96	Have NAP Complex	2,600
Massachussets	•	. ,
Westover AF Reserve Ba	se	
96	Alter Aero-Medical Training	480
New Jersey	·	
McGuire AFB		
96	Upgrade Roads	1,400
96	Add to Aero-Medical Services Clinic	1,950
96	Improve Family Housing (142 units)	15,900
New York	, , , , ,	,
Griffis AFB		
96	NEADS Support Facility	1,900
96	(ANG) 10th Mt. Complex	3,150
96	Alter Consolidated Logistical Facs	3,750
North Dakota	Ç	•
Minot AFB		
96	AGE Corrosion Control	600
South Carolina		
Shaw AFB		
96	Special Operations (726CRS)	8,400
Texas	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-,
Lackland AFB		
96	IAAFA Student Officers Quarters	4,250
96	IAAFA Technical Trng Classroom	4,250
96	IAAFA Enlisted Dormitory	8,100
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5,.50